

Q1 Given China's restrictions on exports of key minerals to the U.S. over the past year, how important is increasing domestic minerals mining (such as lithium, copper and rare earths) to U.S. economic and national security?

Base: All Respondents

	Gender			Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region			
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
Very/Somewhat Important (Net)	1711 83%	816 79%	870 87% B	472 81%	296 82%	254 79%	267 84%	421 87% DF	222 81%	132 76%	118 77%	130 79%	214 82%	240 81%	159 89% J	130 83%	135 89%	205 93% NPM	297 83%	655 82%	346 81%	414 84%
Very important	960 46%	417 41%	534 53% B	231 40%	185 51% D	142 44%	165 52% D	238 49% D	102 37%	77 44%	64 42%	68 41%	105 40%	127 43%	104 58% NJ	76 49%	95 62% NL	133 60% NM	167 47%	371 47%	181 42%	241 49%
Somewhat important	750 36%	400 39% C	336 33%	241 41% EG	111 31%	112 35%	103 32%	184 38%	120 44% J	55 31%	54 35%	62 38%	109 42%	113 38%	55 31%	54 34%	41 27%	72 33%	129 36%	283 36%	164 38%	173 35%
Not At All/Too Important (Net)	162 8%	77 7%	79 8%	75 13% GH	31 9% H	30 9% H	17 5% H	9 2% H	31 11% M	18 10% M	10 6%	12 7%	6 2%	40 14% OQR	10 6% R	20 13% QR	5 4%	3 1%	22 6%	64 8%	37 9%	39 8%
Not too important	112 5%	47 5%	59 6%	48 8% H	24 7% H	21 6% H	13 4% H	6 1% H	14 5% M	13 7% M	7 5%	9 6%	4 2%	30 10% QR	10 5% R	14 9% R	4 3%	2 1%	17 5%	47 6%	19 4%	29 6%
Not at all important	50 2%	30 3%	20 2%	27 5% GH	6 2%	9 3%	4 1%	4 1%	17 6% M	6 3%	3 2%	2 1%	2 1%	10 3%	1 4%	6 4%	2 1%	2 1%	5 1%	17 2%	18 4%	10 2%
Not sure	199 10%	135 13% C	55 5%	37 6%	34 9%	39 12% D	35 11%	54 11% D	21 8%	24 14% O	25 17% IP	23 14%	42 16% IR	15 5%	9 5%	7 4%	11 7%	13 6%	37 10%	76 10%	45 10%	41 8%
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

Q1 Given China's restrictions on exports of key minerals to the U.S. over the past year, how important is increasing domestic minerals mining (such as lithium, copper and rare earths) to U.S. economic and national security?

Base: All Respondents

	Total	Household Income				Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity		
		Less Than \$50K	\$50K - \$74.9K	\$75K - \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
Very/Somewhat Important (Net)	1711 83%	358 75%	239 82%	205 81%	894 87% B	530 78%	522 82%	659 87% F	1084 84% J	627 80%	633 83%	1078 82%	571 82%	1139 83%	1134 84% P	541 79%	804 86% R	907 80%	291 77%	203 81%	1023 85% S
Very important	960 46%	165 35%	125 43% B	116 46% B	546 53% BC	269 40%	298 47%	393 52% F	627 49% J	333 42%	392 51% L	568 43%	360 52% N	601 44%	642 48%	309 45%	516 55% R	445 39%	156 41%	121 48%	594 49% S
Somewhat important	750 36%	193 41% E	113 39%	88 35%	348 34%	261 39%	224 35%	266 35% F	456 35%	294 37%	241 31%	510 39% K	212 30% M	539 39% M	492 37%	233 34%	288 31%	462 41% Q	135 36%	82 33%	429 36% S
Not At All/Too Important (Net)	162 8%	47 10% E	30 10%	21 8%	64 6% H	73 11% H	55 9% H	34 4%	98 8%	64 8%	77 10% L	85 7%	78 11% N	84 6%	84 6%	74 11% O	42 4%	120 11% Q	52 14% U	20 8%	68 6% S
Not too important	112 5%	33 7% E	20 7%	17 7%	42 4% H	48 7% H	38 6%	27 4%	76 6%	36 5%	50 7%	62 5%	52 7% N	60 4%	51 4%	58 8% O	28 3%	84 7% Q	41 11% U	14 6%	36 3% S
Not at all important	50 2%	14 3%	10 3%	4 1%	22 2% H	26 4% H	17 3% H	7 1%	22 2% H	28 4%	26 3%	24 2% H	26 4% N	24 2%	33 2%	17 2%	13 1%	37 3% Q	11 3%	6 2%	32 3% S
Not sure	199 10%	69 15% CE	23 8%	26 10%	74 7%	72 11%	60 9%	67 9%	105 8%	94 12% I	55 7%	144 11% K	48 7% N	151 11% M	125 9%	67 10%	90 10%	109 10%	36 10%	27 11%	111 9% S
Sigma	2072 100%	474 100%	291 100%	251 100%	1032 100%	675 100%	636 100%	760 100%	1287 100%	785 100%	765 100%	1307 100%	697 100%	1375 100%	1343 100%	683 100%	936 100%	1136 100%	379 100%	250 100%	1202 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

Q2 With efforts ongoing to rebuild America's industrial base and boost domestic manufacturing, how much do you agree or disagree that  
 Made-in-America should also include mined-in-America for the essential materials and metals needed for production?

Base: All Respondents

	Gender			Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region			
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
Strongly/Somewhat Agree (Net)	1261 61%	607 59%	637 63%	323 55%	238 66% DF	171 53%	218 68% DF	310 64% DF	167 61%	97 56%	86 56%	104 63%	153 58%	148 50%	136 76% NPJ	84 54%	113 75% NP	155 70% NPM	231 65%	475 60%	246 58%	308 62%
Strongly agree	551 27%	256 25%	289 29%	122 21%	107 30% D	82 25%	104 32% D	137 28% D	70 26%	42 24%	42 28%	43 26%	58 22%	51 17%	61 34% N	40 25%	59 39% N	78 35% NM	104 29%	217 27%	101 24%	129 26%
Somewhat agree	710 34%	350 34%	348 35%	202 35%	132 36% F	89 28%	115 36%	173 36% F	97 35%	54 31%	44 29%	61 37%	95 36%	97 33%	76 42% P	45 28%	54 36%	76 35%	127 36%	258 33%	145 34%	179 36%
Neither agree nor disagree	643 31%	335 33%	290 29%	195 33%	102 28%	117 36% G	80 25%	148 31%	85 31%	60 35% O	50 32%	48 29%	92 35% R	105 36% OQR	38 21%	59 37% OQR	32 21%	56 25%	96 27%	249 31%	155 36% S	143 29%
Strongly/Somewhat Disagree (Net)	169 8%	87 8%	77 8%	66 11% EH	21 6%	34 11% EH	21 6%	27 6%	22 8%	17 10% O	17 11%	14 8%	17 6%	42 14% OQR	4 2%	14 9% O	7 5%	10 5%	29 8%	70 9%	26 6%	43 9%
Somewhat disagree	132 6%	73 7%	55 5%	50 8% H	17 5%	29 9% H	14 4%	22 4%	19 7%	15 8% O	14 9%	10 6%	15 6%	30 10% OR	3 2%	12 7% O	4 3%	7 3%	22 6%	54 7%	17 4%	39 8%
Strongly disagree	37 2%	14 1%	21 2%	16 3%	3 1%	6 2%	7 2%	5 1%	3 1%	2 1%	3 2%	4 2%	2 1%	12 4%	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%	4 2%	7 2%	16 2%	9 2%	4 1%
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

Q2 With efforts ongoing to rebuild America's industrial base and boost domestic manufacturing, how much do you agree or disagree that  
 Made-in-America should also include mined-in-America for the essential materials and metals needed for production?

Base: All Respondents

	Total	Household Income				Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity		
		Less Than \$50K	\$50K - \$74.9K	\$75K - \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
Strongly/Somewhat Agree (Net)	1261 61%	248 52%	164 56%	155 62% B	685 66% BC	368 54%	379 60%	514 68% FG	814 63% J	446 57%	487 64%	773 59%	458 66% N	803 58%	860 64% P	381 56%	641 69% R	620 55%	225 59%	130 52%	779 65% T
Strongly agree	551 27%	101 21%	72 25%	67 27% B	307 30% B	170 25%	169 27%	212 28%	350 27%	201 26%	218 28%	333 25%	205 29%	345 25%	376 28%	167 24%	294 31% R	257 23%	90 24%	63 25%	353 29%
Somewhat agree	710 34%	148 31%	92 32%	88 35% B	378 37% B	198 29%	210 33%	302 40% FG	465 36%	245 31%	269 35%	441 34%	252 36%	458 33%	484 36%	214 31%	347 37% R	363 32%	135 36%	67 27% T	426 35% T
Neither agree nor disagree	643 31%	183 39% CDE	90 31%	76 30%	282 27%	241 36% H	208 33% H	194 25%	354 27%	289 37% I	218 29%	425 32%	186 27%	457 33% M	382 28%	239 35% O	240 26%	402 35% Q	120 32%	98 39% U	337 28%
Strongly/Somewhat Disagree (Net)	169 8%	43 9%	37 13% E	21 8%	66 6% E	66 10% H	50 8%	53 7%	119 9%	50 6%	60 8%	109 8%	54 8%	115 8%	101 8%	63 9%	54 6% Q	114 10% Q	34 9%	23 9%	85 7%
Somewhat disagree	132 6%	37 8% E	30 10% E	18 7%	44 4% E	48 7%	43 7%	40 5%	99 8% J	33 4%	47 6%	85 6%	42 6%	90 7%	79 6%	48 7% Q	38 4% Q	94 8% Q	30 8%	20 8%	62 5%
Strongly disagree	37 2%	6 1% E	7 2% E	3 1%	21 2% E	18 3% H	6 1%	13 2%	20 2% J	17 2%	13 2%	24 2%	12 2%	25 2%	22 2%	15 2% Q	17 2% Q	21 2% Q	4 1% S	3 1% S	24 2% U
Sigma	2072 100%	474 100%	291 100%	251 100%	1032 100%	675 100%	636 100%	760 100%	1287 100%	785 100%	765 100%	1307 100%	697 100%	1375 100%	1343 100%	683 100%	936 100%	1136 100%	379 100%	250 100%	1202 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

Base: All Respondents

Q3 How much do you support or oppose expanding U.S. mining to reduce reliance on foreign sources?

	Gender			Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region			
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1233 59%	540 53%	678 67% B	283 48%	225 62% D	185 57% D	218 68% DF	321 66% DF	125 46%	89 51%	78 51%	95 57%	153 58%	149 51%	132 74% NJ	108 69% NK	122 31% NL	166 75% NM	206 58%	470 59%	235 55%	322 65% U
Strongly support	597 29%	253 25%	337 34% B	113 19%	118 33% D	87 27% D	127 40% DFH	152 31% D	49 18%	47 27%	43 28%	45 27%	69 26%	60 20%	38% NJ	44 28% NOPRL	81 53% NM	83 38% NM	101 28%	233 29%	110 26%	154 31%
Somewhat support	635 31%	287 28%	340 34% B	170 29%	107 30%	98 30%	91 28%	169 35%	76 28%	42 24%	35 23%	49 30%	84 32%	89 30%	63 35% J	63 40% K	42 27%	83 38%	105 30%	237 30%	125 29%	168 34%
Neither support nor oppose	551 27%	324 32% C	212 21%	172 29% G	97 27%	93 29%	67 21%	122 25%	85 31%	63 36% O	47 31%	47 29% Q	81 31% R	85 29% OQR	31 17%	36 23% K	19 12%	41 18%	86 24%	216 27%	138 32% SV	111 22%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	289 14%	164 16% C	115 11%	130 22% EFGH	38 11%	44 14%	34 11%	42 9%	64 23% JM	21 12%	28 18% P	24 14%	28 11% OPQR	61 21% OPQR	15 9%	14 9%	11 7%	14 6%	64 18%	109 14%	54 13%	61 12%
Somewhat oppose	197 9%	108 11%	82 8%	79 14% EH	26 7%	35 11%	25 8%	32 7%	34 12%	16 9%	21 13%	18 11%	20 8%	41 14% OQR	10 6%	11 7%	7 5%	12 5%	35 10%	79 10%	41 10%	42 8%
Strongly oppose	92 4%	56 5%	33 3% EFGH	51 9% EFGH	13 3%	10 3%	9 3%	10 2%	29 11% JLM	6 3%	7 5%	6 3%	8 3%	20 7% R	5 3%	2 1%	3 2%	2 1%	28 8% TUV	31 4%	14 3%	19 4%
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

Base: All Respondents

Q3 How much do you support or oppose expanding U.S. mining to reduce reliance on foreign sources?

	Household Income				Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity			
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K-\$74.9K	\$75K-\$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1233 59%	235 50%	175 60% B	152 61% B	658 64% B	362 54% B	363 57% B	507 67% FG	773 60% B	459 58% B	448 59% B	784 60% B	413 59% B	819 60% B	836 62% P	379 55% B	621 66% R	611 54% B	235 62% T	106 43% B	773 64% T
Strongly support	597 29%	102 21%	87 30% B	71 28% B	334 32% B	159 24% B	182 29% B	257 34% F	389 30% B	208 26% B	222 29% B	375 29% B	204 29% B	394 29% B	403 30% B	184 27% B	339 36% R	259 23% B	101 27% B	56 22% B	394 33% T
Somewhat support	635 31%	133 28%	88 30% B	81 32% B	323 31% B	203 30% B	182 29% B	251 33% B	384 30% B	251 32% B	226 30% B	409 31% B	210 30% B	425 31% B	433 32% B	195 29% B	283 30% B	353 31% B	134 35% B	51 20% B	379 32% T
Neither support nor oppose	551 27%	172 36% CDE	74 25% B	73 29% E	224 22% B	198 29% H	190 30% H	162 21% H	308 24% B	243 31% I	197 26% B	353 27% B	161 23% B	389 28% M	337 25% B	190 28% B	198 21% B	352 31% Q	89 23% B	100 40% SU	280 23% B
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	289 14%	67 14%	42 14% B	26 11% B	151 15% B	116 17% H	83 13% H	90 12% H	206 16% J	83 11% B	120 16% B	169 13% B	123 18% N	166 12% B	170 13% B	114 17% O	116 12% B	172 15% B	55 15% B	44 18% U	149 12% B
Somewhat oppose	197 9%	55 12% B	27 9% B	22 9% B	91 9% B	83 12% GH	50 8% B	64 8% B	141 11% J	55 7% B	74 10% B	122 9% B	80 11% B	117 8% B	123 9% B	71 10% B	74 8% B	123 11% B	32 8% B	21 8% B	110 9% B
Strongly oppose	92 4%	11 2% B	15 5% D	4 2% B	59 6% BD	33 5% B	33 5% B	27 4% B	64 5% B	28 4% B	45 6% B	47 4% B	43 6% N	49 4% B	47 4% B	43 6% O	42 5% B	50 4% B	23 6% B	23 9% U	39 3% B
Sigma	2072 100%	474 100%	291 100%	251 100%	1032 100%	675 100%	636 100%	760 100%	1287 100%	785 100%	765 100%	1307 100%	697 100%	1375 100%	1343 100%	683 100%	936 100%	1136 100%	379 100%	250 100%	1202 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% nsk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

Base: All Respondents

Q4 Do you think the U.S. federal government is doing too much, too little, or about the right amount to encourage domestic mining?

	Gender			Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region			
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
About the right amount	704 34%	316 31%	381 38% B	240 41% GH	142 40% GH	105 33%	80 25%	136 28%	111 41% LM	52 30%	49 32%	41 25%	62 24%	127 43% Q	87 49% PQRJ	55 35%	39 26%	74 33% M	114 32%	295 37%	140 33%	155 31%
Too little	610 29%	291 28%	312 31%	156 27%	108 30%	91 28%	115 36% D	141 29%	80 29%	59 34%	37 24%	50 30%	65 25%	71 24%	47 27%	53 34%	64 42% NO	76 34% NM	110 31%	231 29%	120 28%	149 30%
Too much	300 14%	140 14%	145 14%	118 20% EFGH	49 13%	44 13%	35 11%	54 11%	45 17%	22 13%	16 11%	17 10%	40 15% R	67 23% R	25 14% R	21 13%	18 12%	14 6%	59 16%	98 12%	55 13%	89 18% T
Not sure	458 22%	282 27% C	166 17%	71 12%	62 17%	83 26% DE	89 28% DE	154 32% DE	37 14%	41 23% IO	51 33% IP	58 35% IJQ	95 36% IJR	31 11%	19 11%	29 18%	30 20% N	57 26% NO	74 21%	172 22%	112 26%	101 20%
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

Base: All Respondents

Q4 Do you think the U.S. federal government is doing too much, too little, or about the right amount to encourage domestic mining?

	Household Income				Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity			
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K-\$74.9K	\$75K-\$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
About the right amount	704 34%	135 29%	97 33%	87 35%	382 37% B	222 33%	205 32%	276 36%	484 38% J	220 28%	310 41% L	393 30%	275 39% N	428 31%	462 34%	227 33%	333 36%	370 33%	126 33%	100 40%	402 33%
Too little	610 29%	144 30%	84 29%	74 29%	303 29%	213 31%	194 31%	203 27%	372 29%	238 30%	223 29%	387 30%	214 31%	397 29%	407 30%	194 28%	283 30%	327 29%	126 33% T	54 22%	364 30% T
Too much	300 14%	60 13%	34 12%	30 12%	173 17%	81 12%	97 15%	122 16%	207 16% J	93 12%	116 15%	184 14%	111 16%	189 14%	180 13%	117 17%	132 14%	168 15%	68 18%	40 16%	153 13%
Not sure	458 22%	136 29% E	75 26% E	60 24% E	174 17%	160 24%	140 22%	159 21%	223 17%	235 30% I	115 15%	343 26% K	97 14%	361 26% M	293 22%	145 21%	187 20%	271 24%	60 16%	56 22%	282 23% S
Sigma	2072 100%	474 100%	291 100%	251 100%	1032 100%	675 100%	636 100%	760 100%	1287 100%	785 100%	765 100%	1307 100%	697 100%	1375 100%	1343 100%	683 100%	936 100%	1136 100%	379 100%	250 100%	1202 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

Base: All Respondents

Q5 How much would you support or oppose streamlining federal permitting for mining projects to strengthen our supply chains?

	Gender			Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region			
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1064 51%	479 47%	573 57% B	250 43%	215 60% DF	151 47%	192 60% DF	256 53% D	116 42%	87 50%	70 46%	82 49%	124 47%	132 45%	124 89% NPJ	78 50%	109 72% NPRL	130 59% NM	181 51%	408 51%	210 49%	264 53%
Strongly support	455 22%	175 17%	274 27% B	109 19%	101 28% DFH	56 17%	89 28% DF	100 21%	52 19%	39 22%	21 14%	26 16%	37 14%	57 19%	58 32% N	35 22%	61 40% NPL	63 29% M	79 22%	172 22%	77 18%	127 26% U
Somewhat support	609 29%	304 30%	299 30%	140 24%	115 32% D	95 29%	103 32% D	156 32% D	64 24%	48 28%	49 32%	55 34%	87 33%	74 25%	66 37% N	44 28%	48 31%	67 30%	102 29%	236 30%	134 31%	137 28%
Neither support nor oppose	646 31%	355 35% C	270 27%	203 35%	113 31%	112 35%	85 27%	134 28%	88 32%	70 40% O	60 39%	59 35% Q	79 30%	105 36% OQR	40 23%	44 28%	25 17%	55 25%	99 28%	261 33%	147 34%	140 28%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	362 17%	194 19%	161 16%	131 22% EG	32 9%	60 19% E	42 13%	96 20% E	70 26% JK	17 10%	23 15%	25 15%	59 23% J	59 20% O	14 8%	35 22% O	17 11%	36 16% O	76 21%	126 16%	70 16%	90 18%
Somewhat oppose	239 12%	127 12%	110 11%	86 15% EG	23 6%	40 12% E	26 8%	64 13% E	42 16%	16 9%	14 9%	18 11%	36 14% OQ	42 14% OQ	7 4%	25 18% OQ	8 5%	27 12% O	44 12%	90 11%	44 10%	61 12%
Strongly oppose	123 6%	67 6%	51 5%	45 8% E	9 3%	21 6% E	16 5%	31 6% E	27 10% J	1 1%	9 6% J	7 4%	23 9% J	16 5%	7 4%	10 6% T	9 6% T	9 4%	32 9% T	36 4%	26 6%	29 6%
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

Base: All Respondents

Q5 How much would you support or oppose streamlining federal permitting for mining projects to strengthen our supply chains?

	Household Income					Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity		
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K-\$74.9K	\$75K-\$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1064	209	149	134	566	295	327	441	706	358	398	666	381	682	731	318	548	515	189	97	672
Strongly support	455	72	58	50	273	112	143	199	316	138	192	263	174	281	314	133	254	201	87	36	290
Somewhat support	609	137	91	84	294	183	185	242	390	219	206	403	207	402	416	184	295	314	101	61	382
Neither support nor oppose	646	193	90	78	271	261	210	176	361	286	242	404	196	450	386	237	245	401	119	105	322
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	362	73	52	40	195	120	99	143	220	142	125	237	120	242	227	128	142	220	72	48	208
Somewhat oppose	239	55	32	27	125	77	66	96	149	90	86	153	86	153	144	89	88	151	52	31	130
Strongly oppose	123	18	20	13	70	43	33	47	71	52	39	84	34	89	82	39	54	69	19	17	78
Sigma	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

**Q6 During recent cold weather events, when natural gas prices surged and the grid strained to keep the lights on, coal power served as a price shock absorber for consumers, providing a lower cost, reliable electricity fuel source. How much do you support or oppose the administration's efforts to continue using coal power to lower energy costs for consumer?**

Base: All Respondents

	Gender			Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region			
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1121 54%	532 52%	579 58% B	327 56% H	201 56% H	174 54%	187 58% H	232 48%	154 56% M	84 48%	91 59% M	87 52%	116 44%	170 58%	114 64% RJ	82 52%	99 65% R	115 52%	188 53%	447 56%	219 51%	267 54%
Strongly support	517 25%	238 23%	275 27%	142 24%	90 25%	75 23%	98 31%	112 23%	79 29% M	39 22%	42 28% M	35 21%	43 17%	63 21%	48 27%	33 21%	63 41% NOPL	69 31% NM	88 25%	213 27%	98 23%	119 24%
Somewhat support	603 29%	294 29%	305 30%	185 32% H	111 31%	99 31%	88 28%	119 25%	76 28%	45 26%	49 32%	52 32%	73 28%	108 36% QR	66 37% QR	49 31%	36 24%	46 21%	100 28%	234 29%	121 28%	148 30%
Neither support nor oppose	497 24%	279 27% C	201 20%	136 23%	105 29% H	74 23%	76 24%	105 22%	62 23%	66 38% IKMO	36 24%	53 32% Q	61 23%	68 23%	37 21%	29 19%	23 15%	44 20%	85 24%	184 23%	120 28%	109 22%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	454 22%	217 21%	223 22%	121 21%	54 15%	75 23% E	56 18% DEG	148 31% DEG	57 21%	24 14%	26 17%	25 15%	85 32% JKL	57 19%	28 16%	46 29% OK	30 20% OK	62 28% O	84 23%	164 21%	89 21%	118 24%
Somewhat oppose	271 13%	136 13%	128 13%	81 14%	40 11%	45 14%	27 9%	77 16% G	36 13%	19 11%	15 10%	15 9%	51 20% JKLR	43 14%	21 12%	28 18%	12 8%	24 11%	46 13%	104 13%	52 12%	70 14%
Strongly oppose	183 9%	81 8%	95 9%	40 7%	14 4%	29 9% E	29 9% E	71 15% DE	21 8%	5 3%	11 7%	10 6%	33 13% J	14 5%	7 4%	19 12% NO	18 12% O	38 17% NO	38 11%	60 8%	37 9%	48 10%
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

**Q6 During recent cold weather events, when natural gas prices surged and the grid strained to keep the lights on, coal power served as a price shock absorber for consumers, providing a lower cost, reliable electricity fuel source. How much do you support or oppose the administration's efforts to continue using coal power to lower energy costs for consumer?**

Base: All Respondents

	Household Income				Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity			
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K - \$74.9K	\$75K - \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1121 54%	224 47%	167 57% B	142 57% B	582 56% B	350 52%	344 54%	426 56%	732 57% J	389 50%	469 61% L	652 50%	433 62% N	688 50%	744 55%	357 52%	537 57% R	584 51%	217 57% I	117 47%	674 56% T
Strongly support	517 25%	97 20%	69 24%	60 24%	290 28% B	165 24%	147 23%	205 27%	343 27%	174 22%	211 28%	306 23%	190 27%	328 24%	338 25%	170 25%	266 28% R	252 22%	93 24%	56 22%	324 27%
Somewhat support	603 29%	127 27%	98 34%	82 33%	293 28%	185 27%	197 31%	221 29%	388 30%	215 27%	257 34% L	346 26%	243 35% N	360 26%	406 30%	187 27%	271 29%	332 29%	125 33%	61 25%	350 29%
Neither support nor oppose	497 24%	149 31% ODE	60 20%	61 24%	218 21%	190 28% H	159 25%	148 19%	293 23%	204 26%	169 22%	329 25%	147 21%	350 25%	306 23%	170 25%	208 22%	289 25%	87 23%	80 32% SU	243 20%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	454 22%	102 21%	64 22%	48 19%	231 22%	135 20%	134 21%	186 24%	262 20%	192 24%	128 17%	326 25% K	117 17%	337 25% M	292 22%	156 23%	191 20%	263 23%	75 20%	53 21%	285 24%
Somewhat oppose	271 13%	67 14%	36 12%	30 12%	136 13%	85 13%	83 13%	103 14%	173 13%	98 12%	85 11%	186 14%	80 11%	192 14%	176 13%	92 13%	104 11%	168 15% Q	51 13%	33 13%	160 13%
Strongly oppose	183 9%	35 7%	29 10%	18 7%	96 9%	50 7%	50 8%	83 11%	89 7%	94 12% I	43 6%	140 11% K	38 5%	145 11% M	116 9%	64 9%	87 9%	95 8%	24 6%	20 8%	125 10%
Sigma	2072 100%	474 100%	291 100%	251 100%	1032 100%	675 100%	636 100%	760 100%	1287 100%	785 100%	765 100%	1307 100%	697 100%	1375 100%	1343 100%	683 100%	936 100%	1136 100%	379 100%	250 100%	1202 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

Q7 Electricity prices have risen 40% since 2020 and are expected to continue to rise as electricity demand growth surges. Utilities are slowing or canceling plans to close coal plants in an effort to meet new electricity demand and lower costs.

How much do you support or oppose keeping coal plants open longer, if it means meeting these growing energy demands and keeping costs lower?

Base: All Respondents

	Gender		Age					Woman Age					Man Age					Region				
	Total	Woman	Man	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	North-east	South	Mid-west	West
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)
Unweighted Base	2072	1027	1004	487	413	338	309	525	237	182	183	169	256	236	222	143	137	266	422	831	405	414
Weighted Base	2072	1028	1004	584	361	323	319	485	274	174	153	166	262	296	178	157*	152*	221	356	795	427	494
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1180 57%	568 55%	598 60%	306 52%	223 62% D	177 55%	202 63% D	271 56%	145 53%	95 55%	87 57%	100 60%	142 54%	156 53%	124 70% NPRJ	88 56%	101 67% N	129 58%	196 55%	461 58%	242 57%	281 57%
Strongly support	575 28%	262 26%	309 31% B	149 26%	109 30%	82 26%	104 32%	130 27%	71 26%	48 27%	42 27%	42 25%	60 23%	78 26%	59 33%	41 26%	61 40% NPL	70 31%	96 27%	231 29%	107 25%	141 29%
Somewhat support	605 29%	306 30%	289 28%	157 27%	114 32%	95 29%	99 31%	141 29%	73 27%	48 27%	45 30%	58 35%	81 31%	78 26%	65 36%	47 30%	40 26%	59 27%	100 28%	231 29%	135 32%	139 28%
Neither support nor oppose	471 23%	250 24%	207 21%	148 25% H	92 25% H	85 26% H	63 20%	84 17%	65 24%	55 32% MO	41 27%	41 25%	48 18%	80 27% QR	33 19%	36 23%	21 14%	36 16%	69 20%	192 24%	112 26%	98 20%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	421 20%	210 20%	199 20%	130 22% E	46 13%	61 19%	55 17%	130 27% EFG	64 24% J	23 13%	25 16%	25 15%	72 28% JKL	60 20%	21 12%	33 21% O	30 20%	56 25% O	91 25% TU	141 18%	73 17%	115 23%
Somewhat oppose	249 12%	138 13%	104 10%	90 15% EG	27 7%	38 12%	22 7%	72 15% EG	45 16%	15 9%	18 11%	14 9%	46 18% JL	42 14% OQ	12 7%	18 12%	8 5%	24 11%	59 17% TU	89 11%	44 10%	56 11%
Strongly oppose	172 8%	72 7%	95 9%	40 7%	19 5%	22 7%	33 10% E	58 12% DEF	20 7%	8 5%	7 5%	11 6%	26 10%	17 6%	9 5%	15 9%	22 15% NO	32 15% NO	32 9%	52 7%	29 7%	59 12% TU
Sigma	2072 100%	1028 100%	1004 100%	584 100%	361 100%	323 100%	319 100%	485 100%	274 100%	174 100%	153 100%	166 100%	262 100%	296 100%	178 100%	157 100%	152 100%	221 100%	356 100%	795 100%	427 100%	494 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O/P/Q/R - I/N - J/O - K/P - L/Q - M/R - S/T/U/V  
 Overlap formulae used. \* small base

Q7 Electricity prices have risen 40% since 2020 and are expected to continue to rise as electricity demand growth surges. Utilities are slowing or canceling plans to close coal plants in an effort to meet new electricity demand and lower costs.

How much do you support or oppose keeping coal plants open longer, if it means meeting these growing energy demands and keeping costs lower?

Base: All Respondents

	Household Income					Education			Employed		Children in HH		Parent of Child Under 18		Home Ownership		Marital Status		Race/Ethnicity		
	Total	Less Than \$50K	\$50K - \$74.9K	\$75K - \$99.9K	\$100K+	HS or less	Some College	College Grad+	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Homeowner	Renter	Married	Not married	Hispanic	Black (Not Hispanic)	White (Not Hispanic)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)
Unweighted Base	2072	652	320	350	728	619	556	897	1238	834	742	1330	692	1380	1284	745	980	1092	283	386	1108
Weighted Base	2072	474	291	251	1032	675	636	760	1287	785	765	1307	697	1375	1343	683	936	1136	379	250	1202
Strongly/Somewhat Support (Net)	1180 57%	235 50%	160 55%	145 58% B	631 61% B	351 52%	366 58%	463 61% F	752 58%	428 55%	483 63% L	697 53%	453 65% N	727 53%	784 58%	377 55%	591 63% R	589 52%	206 54%	117 47%	739 61% T
Strongly support	575 28%	108 23%	74 25%	65 26%	325 32% B	180 27%	165 26%	230 30%	372 29%	203 26%	238 31% L	337 26%	229 33% N	346 25%	389 29%	176 26%	309 33% R	266 23%	99 26%	62 25%	361 30%
Somewhat support	605 29%	127 27%	86 30%	80 32%	306 30%	171 25%	202 32%	232 31%	380 30%	225 29%	245 32%	360 28%	225 32%	380 28%	395 29%	201 29%	282 30%	323 28%	107 28%	54 22%	378 31% T
Neither support nor oppose	471 23%	140 30% DE	68 23%	56 22%	201 19%	198 29% H	151 24% H	122 16%	284 22%	188 24%	151 20%	320 24%	138 20%	333 24%	290 22%	166 24%	162 17%	309 27% Q	98 26% U	88 35% SU	215 18%
Strongly/Somewhat Oppose (Net)	421 20%	99 21% DE	63 22%	51 20%	200 19%	127 19%	119 19%	175 23%	251 20%	170 22%	131 17%	290 22% K	106 15%	315 23% M	269 20%	140 21%	183 20%	238 21%	75 20%	45 18%	248 21%
Somewhat oppose	249 12%	69 15% E	41 14%	30 12%	106 10%	82 12%	67 11%	100 13%	160 12%	89 11%	90 12%	159 12%	72 10%	177 13%	158 12%	84 12%	102 11%	147 13%	48 13%	25 10%	142 12%
Strongly oppose	172 8%	29 6%	22 8%	20 8%	94 9%	44 7%	52 8%	76 10%	91 7%	81 10% I	41 5%	131 10% K	34 5%	138 10% M	111 8%	56 8%	80 9%	91 8%	28 7%	21 8%	106 9%
Sigma	2072 100%	474 100%	291 100%	251 100%	1032 100%	675 100%	636 100%	760 100%	1287 100%	785 100%	765 100%	1307 100%	697 100%	1375 100%	1343 100%	683 100%	936 100%	1136 100%	379 100%	250 100%	1202 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E - F/G/H - I/J - K/L - M/N - O/P - Q/R - S/T/U  
 Overlap formulae used.

1	1	Q1 Given China's restrictions on exports of key minerals to the U.S. over the past year, how important is increasing domestic minerals mining (such as lithium, copper and rare earths) to U.S. economic and national security?
2	2	Q1 Given China's restrictions on exports of key minerals to the U.S. over the past year, how important is increasing domestic minerals mining (such as lithium, copper and rare earths) to U.S. economic and national security?
3	3	Q2 With efforts ongoing to rebuild America's industrial base and boost domestic manufacturing, how much do you agree or disagree that Made-in-America should also include mined-in-America for the essential materials and metals needed for production?
4	4	Q2 With efforts ongoing to rebuild America's industrial base and boost domestic manufacturing, how much do you agree or disagree that Made-in-America should also include mined-in-America for the essential materials and metals needed for production?
5	5	Q3 How much do you support or oppose expanding U.S. mining to reduce reliance on foreign sources?
6	6	Q3 How much do you support or oppose expanding U.S. mining to reduce reliance on foreign sources?
7	7	Q4 Do you think the U.S. federal government is doing too much, too little, or about the right amount to encourage domestic mining?
8	8	Q4 Do you think the U.S. federal government is doing too much, too little, or about the right amount to encourage domestic mining?
9	9	Q5 How much would you support or oppose streamlining federal permitting for mining projects to strengthen our supply chains?
10	10	Q5 How much would you support or oppose streamlining federal permitting for mining projects to strengthen our supply chains?
11	11	Q6 During recent cold weather events, when natural gas prices surged and the grid strained to keep the lights on, coal power served as a price shock absorber for consumers, providing a lower cost, reliable electricity fuel source. How much do you support or oppose the administration's efforts to continue using coal power to lower energy costs for consumer?
12	12	Q6 During recent cold weather events, when natural gas prices surged and the grid strained to keep the lights on, coal power served as a price shock absorber for consumers, providing a lower cost, reliable electricity fuel source. How much do you support or oppose the administration's efforts to continue using coal power to lower energy costs for consumer?
13	13	Q7 Electricity prices have risen 40% since 2020 and are expected to continue to rise as electricity demand growth surges. Utilities are slowing or canceling plans to close coal plants in an effort to meet new electricity demand and lower costs. How much do you support or oppose keeping coal plants open longer, if it means meeting these growing energy demands and keeping costs lower?
14	14	Q7 Electricity prices have risen 40% since 2020 and are expected to continue to rise as electricity demand growth surges. Utilities are slowing or canceling plans to close coal plants in an effort to meet new electricity demand and lower costs. How much do you support or oppose keeping coal plants open longer, if it means meeting these growing energy demands and keeping costs lower?