

National Tracking Poll

Project: 2204021

N Size: 2005 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: ± 2%

April 06-08, 2022

Topline Report

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
P1	<i>Now, generally speaking, would you say that things in the country are going in the right direction, or have they pretty seriously gotten off on the wrong track?</i>		
	Right Direction	669	33%
	Wrong Track	1336	67%
nr2b	<i>Do you approve or disapprove of the job Joe Biden is doing as President?</i>		
	Strongly Approve	368	18%
	Somewhat Approve	467	23%
	Somewhat Disapprove	328	16%
	Strongly Disapprove	789	39%
	Don't Know / No Opinion	53	3%
P3	<i>Now, thinking about your vote, what would you say is the top set of issues on your mind when you cast your vote for federal offices such as U.S. Senate or Congress?</i>		
	Economic Issues – like taxes, wages, jobs, unemployment, and spending	821	41%
	Security Issues – like terrorism, foreign policy, and border security	312	16%
	Health Care Issues – like the 2010 health care law, Medicaid, other challenges	226	11%
	Seniors Issues – like Medicare and Social Security	197	10%
	Women's Issues – like birth control, abortion, and equal pay	116	6%
	Education Issues – like school standards, class sizes, school choice, and student loans	76	4%
	Energy Issues – like carbon emissions, cost of electricity/gasoline, or renewables	147	7%
	Other:	109	5%
NMA1_1	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Climate change</i>		
	A top priority	825	41%
	An important but not top priority	560	28%
	Not much of a priority	294	15%
	Not a priority at all	271	14%
	Don't know/No opinion	55	3%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA1_2	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic</i>		
	A top priority	1110	55%
	An important but not top priority	609	30%
	Not much of a priority	153	8%
	Not a priority at all	72	4%
	Don't know/No opinion	61	3%
NMA1_3	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Job creation</i>		
	A top priority	888	44%
	An important but not top priority	806	40%
	Not much of a priority	190	9%
	Not a priority at all	61	3%
	Don't know/No opinion	60	3%
NMA1_4	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Securing domestic supply chains</i>		
	A top priority	917	46%
	An important but not top priority	748	37%
	Not much of a priority	190	9%
	Not a priority at all	50	3%
	Don't know/No opinion	99	5%
NMA1_5	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Energy affordability</i>		
	A top priority	991	49%
	An important but not top priority	774	39%
	Not much of a priority	126	6%
	Not a priority at all	55	3%
	Don't know/No opinion	59	3%
NMA1_6	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Health care costs</i>		
	A top priority	1197	60%
	An important but not top priority	628	31%
	Not much of a priority	108	5%
	Not a priority at all	25	1%
	Don't know/No opinion	48	2%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA1_7	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Prescription drug pricing</i>		
	A top priority	946	47%
	An important but not top priority	765	38%
	Not much of a priority	171	9%
	Not a priority at all	50	3%
	Don't know/No opinion	73	4%
NMA1_8	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Education reform</i>		
	A top priority	657	33%
	An important but not top priority	834	42%
	Not much of a priority	332	17%
	Not a priority at all	96	5%
	Don't know/No opinion	86	4%
NMA1_9	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Immigration</i>		
	A top priority	872	44%
	An important but not top priority	739	37%
	Not much of a priority	261	13%
	Not a priority at all	68	3%
	Don't know/No opinion	64	3%
NMA1_10	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? National security</i>		
	A top priority	1346	67%
	An important but not top priority	466	23%
	Not much of a priority	103	5%
	Not a priority at all	26	1%
	Don't know/No opinion	64	3%
NMA1_11	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Infrastructure (such as roads and bridges)</i>		
	A top priority	802	40%
	An important but not top priority	862	43%
	Not much of a priority	233	12%
	Not a priority at all	43	2%
	Don't know/No opinion	64	3%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA1_12	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Gun policy</i>		
	A top priority	687	34%
	An important but not top priority	594	30%
	Not much of a priority	335	17%
	Not a priority at all	308	15%
	Don't know/No opinion	81	4%
NMA1_13	<i>Thinking about the Congress, how much of a priority, if at all, should the following be for Congress to address? Race inequality</i>		
	A top priority	666	33%
	An important but not top priority	636	32%
	Not much of a priority	362	18%
	Not a priority at all	263	13%
	Don't know/No opinion	80	4%
NMA2	<i>As you may know, before Russia's invasion of Ukraine, Europe was in an energy crisis with high electricity prices and reliability concerns. Since the invasion, the ripple effect on global energy markets has been felt around the world. Do you agree or disagree that the U.S. should change its energy strategy in response to what we have learned from Russia's invasion of Ukraine?</i>		
	Strongly agree	960	48%
	Somewhat agree	643	32%
	Somewhat disagree	76	4%
	Strongly disagree	47	2%
	Don't know/No opinion	279	14%
NMA3	<i>You mentioned the U.S. should change its energy strategy in response to what we learned from Russia's invasion in Ukraine. Some say the U.S. should maintain a mix of all fuels to ensure reliability when weather dependent renewables cannot be counted on to generate electricity. Others say the U.S. should accelerate the phase out of fossil fuels to ensure all renewable energy like wind and solar is used to generate electricity. Which of the following comes closest to your opinion, even if neither is exactly correct? (N=1,603)</i>		
	The U.S. should accelerate the phase out of fossil fuels to ensure all renewable energy like wind and solar is used to generate electricity.	499	31%
	The U.S. should maintain a mix of all fuels to ensure reliability when weather dependent renewables cannot be counted on to generate electricity.	1009	63%
	Don't know/No opinion	95	6%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA4	<i>As you may know, Russia is a major producer of mined materials such as uranium, nickel, and more. As a result of Russia's invasion of Ukraine, prices for a range of metals and minerals have increased significantly. Do you support or oppose more domestic (U.S.-based) mining projects to secure our supply chains with mined-in-America materials?</i>		
	Strongly support	821	41%
	Somewhat support	656	33%
	Somewhat oppose	151	8%
	Strongly oppose	59	3%
	Don't know/No opinion	319	16%
NMA5_1	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible minerals mining in the U.S.? The U.S. is currently 100 percent reliant on other countries like China and Russia for 17 mineral commodities and more than 50 percent import reliant for another 30 minerals, despite the fact that we could be mining many of these same minerals here at home. Considering the threat posed by geopolitical rivals controlling essential mineral supply chains, the U.S. should recommit to producing and processing these minerals at home, building secure, domestic supply chains and reducing its reliance on geopolitical rivals like China.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	938	47%
	Somewhat more likely to support	586	29%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	123	6%
	Much more likely to oppose	74	4%
	Don't know/No opinion	284	14%
NMA5_2	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible minerals mining in the U.S.? President Biden is pursuing a 'Made in America' agenda aimed at rebuilding the nation's manufacturing sector and bringing critical industries and jobs home from overseas, but many of these industries still rely on imported raw materials for the goods they make. As part of this focus on economic recovery and growth, the U.S. should ensure that the minerals that feed our nation's supply chains – such as copper or lithium for electric vehicles – are also sourced from the U.S.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	813	41%
	Somewhat more likely to support	692	35%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	146	7%
	Much more likely to oppose	98	5%
	Don't know/No opinion	257	13%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA5_3	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible minerals mining in the U.S.? With insufficient supplies of key minerals like lithium, cobalt and nickel on the horizon, many are predicting that the 2020s will see a severe battery shortage with automakers unable to fulfill up to 35 million EV orders by 2030 because there will be no batteries to propel them. The U.S. should make domestic mining of the minerals needed for electrification a priority.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	710	35%
	Somewhat more likely to support	681	34%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	196	10%
	Much more likely to oppose	83	4%
	Don't know/No opinion	335	17%
NMA5_4	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible minerals mining in the U.S.? China dominates key mineral supply chains essential to U.S. national security. Recently, China suggested it might restrict supply of key minerals used in advanced defense technologies, such as the F-35 aircraft, to see if it could disrupt U.S. production. These minerals could be produced in the U.S. Considering the threat posed by China's control of essential mineral supply chains, the U.S. should recommit to producing and processing these minerals at home, building secure, domestic supply chains and reducing its reliance on geopolitical rivals like China.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	850	42%
	Somewhat more likely to support	595	30%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	168	8%
	Much more likely to oppose	95	5%
	Don't know/No opinion	297	15%
NMA5_5	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible minerals mining in the U.S.? The World Bank estimates that the production of minerals, such as graphite, lithium and cobalt, could increase by nearly 500% by 2050, to meet the growing demand for advanced energy technologies. Many of the minerals that will be required to fuel the world's future technologies are sourced from America's geopolitical rivals or countries with far fewer environmental restrictions than exist in America. U.S. policy should support the responsible sourcing of these minerals in the U.S., home to some of the strictest environmental regulations in the world, rather than yielding our supply chains to competitors abroad.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	695	35%
	Somewhat more likely to support	724	36%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	168	8%
	Much more likely to oppose	49	2%
	Don't know/No opinion	369	18%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA6_1	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible coal mining in the U.S.? Our grid is in transition but the energy crisis in Europe and reliability concerns across the U.S. have shown the limits of today's intermittent fuel technologies. We still require on-demand power from fuels like natural gas, coal and nuclear, which can be dispatched when needed, to supplement weather-dependent sources of electricity, and fuel diversity so that disruptions in the availability of or price hikes in any one fuel can be balanced by another. Our energy transition should proceed with caution and allow for the reliability and affordability assurances that come with an all-of-the-above fuel strategy.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	642	32%
	Somewhat more likely to support	761	38%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	178	9%
	Much more likely to oppose	87	4%
	Don't know/No opinion	338	17%
NMA6_2	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible coal mining in the U.S.? Coal remains the world's leading fuel for electricity generation. Meeting global emissions targets will require significant momentum in commercially viable advanced coal technologies and carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS). As policymakers consider investments in energy innovation and deployment of advanced technologies as part of the nation's economic recovery, U.S. leadership in advancing CCUS and other essential coal technologies must be a priority.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	573	29%
	Somewhat more likely to support	683	34%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	255	13%
	Much more likely to oppose	115	6%
	Don't know/No opinion	379	19%
NMA6_3	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible coal mining in the U.S.? Americans are concerned about electricity affordability yet policymakers continue to pursue aggressive changes to the grid that are raising consumer rates. While the cost of electricity from intermittent sources of power is falling, the system costs of integrating these intermittent sources of energy is rising and those costs are passed onto the consumer. Before retiring well-operating power plants, utilities should consider the impact of the transition to renewables on their customers.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	690	34%
	Somewhat more likely to support	665	33%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	214	11%
	Much more likely to oppose	113	6%
	Don't know/No opinion	324	16%

Question	Response	Frequency	Percentage
NMA6_4	<i>Do the following statements make you more likely to support or more likely to oppose responsible coal mining in the U.S.? Together with iron ore, coal is indispensable in the production of steel. As countries around the world look to large-scale infrastructure projects to aid in their post-pandemic economic recovery plans – from new bridges or dams to renewable energy systems and subways – the world needs steel, and metallurgical coal to produce it. The U.S. should support policies to ensure global needs are met with supplies from the U.S., where the raw materials required for steel are mined under the strictest environmental and safety standards.</i>		
	Much more likely to support	620	31%
	Somewhat more likely to support	718	36%
	Somewhat more likely to oppose	221	11%
	Much more likely to oppose	83	4%
	Don't know/No opinion	363	18%

Note: Group proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. All statistics are calculated for registered voters with demographic post-stratification weights applied.

Respondent Demographics Summary

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xdemAll	Registered Voters	2005	100%
xdemGender	Gender: Male	945	47%
	Gender: Female	1060	53%
	N	2005	
age	Age: 18-34	516	26%
	Age: 35-44	308	15%
	Age: 45-64	682	34%
	Age: 65+	499	25%
	N	2005	
demAgeGeneration	GenZers: 1997-2012	211	11%
	Millennials: 1981-1996	511	25%
	GenXers: 1965-1980	506	25%
	Baby Boomers: 1946-1964	714	36%
	N	1941	
xpid3	PID: Dem (no lean)	728	36%
	PID: Ind (no lean)	573	29%
	PID: Rep (no lean)	704	35%
	N	2005	
xpidGender	PID/Gender: Dem Men	341	17%
	PID/Gender: Dem Women	387	19%
	PID/Gender: Ind Men	296	15%
	PID/Gender: Ind Women	277	14%
	PID/Gender: Rep Men	308	15%
	PID/Gender: Rep Women	396	20%
	N	2005	
xdemIdeo3	Ideo: Liberal (1-3)	570	28%
	Ideo: Moderate (4)	593	30%
	Ideo: Conservative (5-7)	725	36%
	N	1889	
xeduc3	Educ: < College	1211	60%
	Educ: Bachelors degree	503	25%
	Educ: Post-grad	291	15%
	N	2005	

Continued on next page

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xdemInc3	Income: Under 50k	872	43%
	Income: 50k-100k	710	35%
	Income: 100k+	423	21%
	N	2005	
xdemWhite	Ethnicity: White	1542	77%
xdemHispBin	Ethnicity: Hispanic	223	11%
demBlackBin	Ethnicity: Black	251	13%
demRaceOther	Ethnicity: Other	212	11%
xdemReligion	All Christian	1007	50%
	All Non-Christian	83	4%
	Atheist	94	5%
	Agnostic/Nothing in particular	487	24%
	Something Else	333	17%
	N	2005	
xdemReligOther	Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic	128	6%
xdemEvang	Evangelical	524	26%
	Non-Evangelical	753	38%
	N	1277	
xdemUsr	Community: Urban	466	23%
	Community: Suburban	1023	51%
	Community: Rural	516	26%
	N	2005	
xdemEmploy	Employ: Private Sector	677	34%
	Employ: Government	123	6%
	Employ: Self-Employed	186	9%
	Employ: Homemaker	169	8%
	Employ: Student	62	3%
	Employ: Retired	525	26%
	Employ: Unemployed	158	8%
	Employ: Other	104	5%
	N	2005	
xdemMilHH1	Military HH: Yes	350	17%
	Military HH: No	1655	83%
	N	2005	

Continued on next page

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xnr1	RD/WT: Right Direction	669	33%
	RD/WT: Wrong Track	1336	67%
	N	2005	
xdemBidenApprove	Biden Job Approve	835	42%
	Biden Job Disapprove	1117	56%
	N	1952	
xdemBidenApprove2	Biden Job Strongly Approve	368	18%
	Biden Job Somewhat Approve	467	23%
	Biden Job Somewhat Disapprove	328	16%
	Biden Job Strongly Disapprove	789	39%
	N	1952	
xdemBidenFav	Favorable of Biden	859	43%
	Unfavorable of Biden	1097	55%
	N	1955	
xdemBidenFavFull	Very Favorable of Biden	376	19%
	Somewhat Favorable of Biden	482	24%
	Somewhat Unfavorable of Biden	282	14%
	Very Unfavorable of Biden	815	41%
	N	1955	
xnr3	#1 Issue: Economy	821	41%
	#1 Issue: Security	312	16%
	#1 Issue: Health Care	226	11%
	#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security	197	10%
	#1 Issue: Women's Issues	116	6%
	#1 Issue: Education	76	4%
	#1 Issue: Energy	147	7%
	#1 Issue: Other	109	5%
	N	2005	
xsubVote20O	2020 Vote: Joe Biden	949	47%
	2020 Vote: Donald Trump	867	43%
	2020 Vote: Other	36	2%
	2020 Vote: Didn't Vote	153	8%
	N	2005	
xsubVote18O	2018 House Vote: Democrat	725	36%
	2018 House Vote: Republican	714	36%
	2018 House Vote: Someone else	53	3%
	N	1492	

Continued on next page

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

Demographic	Group	Frequency	Percentage
xsubVote16O	2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton	654	33%
	2016 Vote: Donald Trump	747	37%
	2016 Vote: Other	113	6%
	2016 Vote: Didn't Vote	485	24%
	<i>N</i>	1999	
xsubVote14O	Voted in 2014: Yes	1324	66%
	Voted in 2014: No	681	34%
	<i>N</i>	2005	
xreg4	4-Region: Northeast	354	18%
	4-Region: Midwest	446	22%
	4-Region: South	748	37%
	4-Region: West	457	23%
	<i>N</i>	2005	

Note: Group proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. All statistics are calculated with demographic post-stratification weights applied.



MORNING CONSULT